Case 2:02-cv-03631-BWK CPOCUTOVER SHELET 06/06/2002 Page 1 of 16

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the Untied States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I (a) PLAINTIFFS

EUGENE and ANNA VISCO, h/w

INC.,

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, F/K/A ALLIED SIGNAL,

Successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF

COUNTY, NJ

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT MORRIS

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

NOTE:

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED

(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)

Brookman, Roseng, Brown & Sandler One Penn Square West 17th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19130 215-569-4000 ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

DEFENDANTS

Rawle & Henderson LLP The Widener Building One South Penn Square Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 575-4200

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES

(For Diversity Cases Only) FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR

DEFENDANT)

IV.

9 1	U.S. Government	Хз	Federal Question		PTF	DEF		PTFDEF
	Plaintiff		(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	9 1	9 1	Incorporated or Principal Place	9 494
							of Business in this State	
9 2	U.S. Government	9 4	Diversity	Citizen of Another State	9 ₂	9 2	Incorporated and Principal Place	9 5 9 5
	Defendant		(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				of Business in Another State	
				Citizen or Subject of a	9 3	9 3	Foreign Nation	9 6 9 6
				Foreign Country				

ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

Appeal to District

Transferred from Judge from **9** 1 Original X 2 Removed from 9 3 Remanded from 9 4 Reinstated or 9 5 another district **9** 6 Multidistrict 7 Magistrate State Court Proceeding Appellate Court Reopened (specify) Litigation Judgment

V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

	CONTRACT TORTS				FORFEITURE/PENALTY		BANKRUPTCY		o	OTHER STATUTES		
9 110 9 120	Insurance Marine	PERSO 9 310	NAL INJURY Airplane	PERSOI 9 362	NAL INJURY Personal Injury	9 610 9 620	Agriculture Other Food&Drug	9 422	Appeal 28 USC 158	ç	400	State
9 130 9 140	Miller Act Negotiable Instrument	9 315	Airplane Product Liability	9 365	Med Malpractice Personal Injury	9 625	Drug Related Seizure of Prop-	9 423	Withdrawal 28 USC 157) 410) 430	Reapportion ment Antitrust Banks and Banking
9 150	Recovery of Overpayment	9 320	Assault, Libel &		Product Liability		erty 21, USC 881	PRO	PERTY RIGHTS	ç	450	Balikilig
	& Enforcement of Judgment	9 330	Slander Federal Employers'	9 368	Asbestos Personal Injury Product	9 630 9 640	Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	9 820	Copyrights	Ç	9 460 9 470	Commerce/I CC Rates/etc. Deportation Racketeer Influenced
9 151	Medicare Act		Liability		Liability	9 650	Airline Regs	9 830	Patent			and Corrupt Organization s

0672474.01

9 152	Case 2:	0 €2 <u>₹</u> €\	/-03631-BW	K I	Document 1	Fjiled	06/06/200	0 3 840	Page 2 of 16	9 810	Selective
	Student Loans	9 345	Marine Product		NAL PROPERTY		Safety/Health			9 850	Service
9 153	(Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment	9 350	Liability Motor Vehicle	9 370 9 371	Other Fraud Truth in Lending	9 690 LABO	Other DR	soc	IAL SECURITY	9 875	Securities/Co mmodities/ Exchange Customer
	of Veteran's Benefits	9 355	Motor Vehicle	9 380	Other Personal			9 861	HIA (1395ff)		Challenge 12 USC
9 160	Stockholders' Suits		Product Liability		Property Damage	9 710	Fair Labor	9 862	Black Lung (923)	9 891	3410 Agricultural
9 190	Other Contract	9 360	Other Personal	9 385	Property Damage		Standards Act	9 863	DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	9 892	Acts Economic Stabilization
9 195	Contract Product Liability		Injury		Product Liability	9 720	Labor/Mgmt.	9 864	SSID Title XVI	9 893	Act
							Relations	9 865	RIS (405(g))	9 894	Environment al Matters Energy Allocation Act
	REAL PROPERTY	EAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS		PRISONER PETITIONS		9 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &		FEDERAL TAX SUITS		9 895	Freedom of Information
9 210	Land Condemnation	9 441	Voting	9 510	Motions to Vacate		Disclosure Act	9 870	Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	9 900	Act Appeal of Fee
9 220	Foreclosure	9 442	Employment		Sentence	9 ₇₄₀	Railway Labor		or Defendant)		Determina- tion Under Equal
9 230 9 240	Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	9 443	Housing/ Accommodations	9 530 9 540	Habeas Corpus Mandamus & Other	9 790	Act Other Labor	9 871	IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	9 950	Access to Justice
9 ₂₄₅	Tort Product Liability	9 444	Welfare	9 550	Civil Rights		Litigation				Constitutiona lity of State
9 290	All Other Real Property	9 440	Other Civil Rights			9 791	Empl. Ret. Inc.			X 890	Statutes Other Statutory
							Security Act				Actions
VI.	CAUSE OF ACTI	ION	•		E UNDER WHICH YOU ARE F STATUTES UNLESS DIVERS		D WRITE A BRIEF S	STATEME	NT OF CAUSE.		
28 USC §1452(A) §1334(B) and Federal Bankruptcy Rule 9027											
VII. CO	REQUESTED IN MPLAINT:		CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER F.R.C.P. :	_	ASS ACTION	ļ	DEMAND \$		ES only if demanded in o		9 NO
VIII.	RELATED CASE	(S) IF	ANY	(See I	nstructions):	JUDGI	E N/A		DOCKET NUMBI	≣R	N/A
DATE			SIGNATURE OF	ATTOF	RNEY OF RECORD						

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
RECEIPT #_____ AMOUNT_____ APPLYING IFP_____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Filed 06/06/2002 Page 3 of 16

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: Eugene and Anna Visco, 115 Holy Drive, Hatboro, PA 196		
Address of Defendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., C	<u>lolumbia Roa</u>	ad and Park Avenue,
Morristown, New Jersey 07962		_
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: <u>Asbestos Exposure in Pennsylv</u>	ania and elsev	where _
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes X	No ${\sf G}$
RELATED CASE IF ANY		
Case Number: N/A Judge N/A Date Termi Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	nated: <u>N/A</u>	
1.Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	1.	Yes G No G
2. Does this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	3.	Yes G No G
4. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this Court?	5.	Yes G No G
CIVIL: (Place: in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)		
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversit Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts FELA Jones Act—Personal Injury Antitrust Fatabor-Management Relations Civil Rights Fights	y Jurisdiction Curance Contract plane Personal I sault, Defamation rine Personal Injutor Vehicle Personal Injuducts Liability ducts Liability—Other Diversity ptcy Rule 90270	and Other Contracts (injury on jury sonal Injury ary (Please specify) —Asbestos (Cases (Please specify)
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION		
(Check appropriate Category)		
I, <u>Peter J. Neeson, Esquire</u> , counsel of record do hereby certify:		
 X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 8, Section 4(a)(2), that, to the best of my knowledge recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 exclusive of inte G Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 		damages
DATE: Peter J. Neeson, Esquire	27601	
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney l	I.D. #
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compl	iance with F.R.C	C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pendir terminated action in this court except as noted above.	g or within on	e year previously
DATE:		
0672474.01		

CIV. 609(9/99)

Case 2:02-cv-036311 PAYED SPOCHES DISTRIPED COOGRAP02 Page 5 of 16

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNA category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendary	TION FORM to be used	by counsel to indicate the			
Address of Plaintiff: Eugene and Anna Visco, 115 Holy Drive, I Address of Defendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Sign Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Asbestos Exposu (Use Reverse Side Fo	Hatboro, PA 19040 al, Inc., Columbia Ro are in Pennsylvania an ar Additional Space)	ad and Park Avenue, ad elsewhere			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE IF ANY		Yes X No G			
Case Number: N/A Givil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following	Date Terminated: _ g questions:	N/A			
6.Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit peone year previously terminated action in this court?	ending or within 1.	Yes G No G			
2.Does this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of the same prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this c		Yes G No G			
4.Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent alread earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminate Court?		Yes G No G			
CIVIL: (Place: in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. G Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. G Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 3. Jones Act—Personal Injury 4. G Antitrust 5. G Airplane Personal Injury 6. G Assault, Defamation 6. G Marine Personal Injury 7. G Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 8. G Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 8. G Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 8. G Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 8. G Products Liability 8. G Products Liability—Asbestos 9. G All Other Diversity Cases (Please specify) 10. X All other Federal Question Cases (please specify) 28 USC §1452, 1334(b); Bankruptcy Rule 9027(a)(3)					
ARBITRATION CERT					
I, <u>Peter J. Neeson, Esquire</u> , counsel of record do hereby certify:					
X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 8, Section 4(a)(2), that, to the best recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000	•	_			
G Relief other than monetary damages is sought.					
DATE: Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Attorney-at-Law	<u>27601</u> At	torney I.D. #			
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	e has been compliance wi	th F.R.C.P. 38.			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any otterminated action in this court except as noted above.	case now pending or wi	thin one year previously			

Attorney-at-Law

27601

Attorney I.D. #

0672474.01

CIV. 609(9/99)

DATE: _

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

EUGENE VIS ANNA VISC	SCO O, h	and :
f/k/a ALLIED) SIC	: Civil Action No.: 02-3631 NTERNATIONAL, INC. : GNAL, INC., Successor : DIX CORPORATION, :
et al. In accordance	a vvitl	the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for a plete a Case Manage Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the s form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff signation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of a the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form ex to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
regarding said court and serv specifying the	d des ve on e trac	signation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
SELECT ON	E OF	F THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:
(8	a) [Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2441 through § 2255 ()
(t	b)	Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
(0	c) .	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 8. ()
(0	d)	Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()
(6	1	Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracts (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases) (X)
(f	f)	Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. ()
(Date)		Attorney-at-law Attorneys for Defendant Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

BY: PETER J. NEESON, ESQUIRE ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT,

STEWART R. SINGER, ESQUIRE HONEYWELL

INTERNATIONAL,

JOHN C. McMEEKIN II, ESQUIRE INC., F/K/A ALLIED SIGNAL,

BENDIX CORPORATION

INC.,

ATTORNEY I.D. NO. 27601/62006/81250 SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO

THE WIDENER BUILDING
ONE SOUTH PENN SQUARE
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107
(215) 575-4200

R&H File No.: 516,208 (Brookman)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [EASTERN DISTRICT]

EUGENE VISCO and : ANNA VISCO, h/w :

.

v. :

:

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. : Civil Action No.: 02-3631

f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor : in interest to BENDIX CORPORATION :

NOTICE OF REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C § 1452 AND FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9027

TO: ALL PARTIES ON ANNEXED SERVICE LIST

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., ("Honeywell"), as successor in interest to the Bendix Corporation ("Bendix"), by and through its undersigned counsel, Rawle & Henderson LLP, hereby gives notice of the removal to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania of the claims that have been asserted against Honeywell in the action captioned Eugene and Anna Visco, h/w v. ACandS, Inc., et al, now pending in the Common Pleas Court of the State of Pennsylvania, County of Philadelphia, with April 2002 Court Term and Number 005277. This Notice of Removal is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a) and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and as grounds for such removal Honeywell respectfully states as follows:

- 1. On October 1, 2001 (the "Petition Date"), Federal-Mogul Global, Inc. (collectively "Federal-Mogul") filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, commencing bankruptcy case number 01-10578.
- 2. The above referenced state action, which is "related to" the removed claims, was commenced on May 3, 2002, by the filing of a Summons & Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County.
- 3. The removed claims are for personal injury or wrongful death asserted against Honeywell. Honeywell, formerly known as Allied Signal Inc., is the successor in interest to Allied Corporation which, in turn, was the successor in interest to The Bendix Corporation. The Automotive Sector of Allied Signal Inc. was the business unit within Allied Signal Inc. which continued the "Bendix" line of automotive friction products.
- 4. Federal-Mogul, or companies acquired by Federal Mogul Global, Inc., are named as co-defendants of Honeywell in this action.
- 5. The plaintiff(s) in the above referenced action have asserted that joint and several liability arises as to each named co-defendant in this action.
- 6. As a result, in the above referenced action Honeywell has either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Federal-Mogul, or such a cross-claim against Federal-Mogul arises automatically by operation of law.
- 7. Conversely, Federal-Mogul has also either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Honeywell, or such a cross-claim against Honeywell arises automatically by operation of law.
- 8. The above referenced claims for personal injury asserted against Honeywell, as well as the cross-claims asserted by Honeywell and the corresponding cross-claims asserted by Federal-Mogul, may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a). Removal of these claims is proper because the removed claims are: asserted in a civil action; not exempt from removal; and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the removed claims pursuant to

- 28 U.S.C. §1334 due to the fact that these cross-claims asserted by and against Honeywell are "related to" Federal-Mogul's bankruptcy proceeding. See In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d 482, 494 (6th Cir. 1996) (holding that Section 1334(b) "related to" subject matter jurisdiction exists over actions for indemnification and contribution claims asserted by non-debtor co-defendants against the debtor).
- 9. Removal to this Court is timely pursuant to Rule 9027 (a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure in that the claims in the civil action are "related to" the October 1, 2001, Federal-Mogul bankruptcy case, and this Notice has been filed within thirty days after: (1) receipt of the initial pleading setting forth the claim or cause of action sought to be removed, or (2) receipt of the summons, if the initial pleading has been filed with the court but not served with the summons.
- 10. Consent of the other named co-defendants is not necessary for removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452. See Creasy v. Coleman Furniture Corp., 763 F.2d 656, 660 (4th Cir. 1985). Further, a cost bond is not required to accomplish this removal.
- 11. Upon removal, the proceedings with respect to the removed claims are non-core. Honeywell does not consent to entry of a final order or judgment by the bankruptcy judge to the extent the bankruptcy court is authorized to hear or determine such claims consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5).
- 12. On December 17, 2001, Honeywell filed a Motion to Transfer, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(5) (the "Transfer Motion"), with the United States District Court for the District of Delaware asking that Court to issue a provisional order to transfer the above referenced removed claims for a consolidated resolution of the threshold scientific question, by means of a Daubert hearing, whether the plaintiffs in the underlying actions can establish that automotive friction products containing encapsulated asbestos fibers can be the proximate cause of certain asbestos-related medical disorders. See 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) (1994); Daubert v. Merrill Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993); In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d at 496-97 (holding that 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) vests the power to fix venue over personal injury or wrongful

death actions pending against non-debtor co-defendants which are "related to" a debtor's bankruptcy proceeding, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b), with the district court where the bankruptcy case resides). See Exhibit "A."

- 13. On December 19, 2001 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order in favor of Honeywell that: (1) partially withdrew the reference; and (2) provisionally transferred the Friction Product claims to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, (the "Provisional Order"), subject to further Orders of that Court. See Exhibit "B."
- 14. On January 4, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the "Clarification Order"), that clarified the Provisional Order by extending the provisional transfer of Friction Product claims to include claims that would have been subject to the Provisional Order, but had not yet been removed on the date of the Provisional Order. See Exhibit "C."
- 15. On February 8, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the "Remand Order"), granting plaintiffs' Motion for Remand. See Exhibit "D."
- 16. On February 11, 2002 the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order temporarily granting an Emergency Motion for Stay (the "Stay Order"), pending appeal of the Remand Order by appellants. See Exhibit "E."
- 17. On March 19, 2002, the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States District Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order (the "Stay Clarification Order"), that clarified that the Stay Order issued on February 11, 2002 applies to all appellants and to all removed claims before the Delaware District Court. See Exhibit "F."
- 18. On March 25, 2002, Chief Judge Becker of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals issued an order establishing a briefing schedule and set June 17, 2002, as the date to hear oral argument on the appeal (the "Scheduling Order"). See Exhibit "G."

Case 2:02-cv-03631-BWK Document 1 Filed 06/06/2002 Page 11 of 16

19. A copy of the Scheduling Order also provides that "[t]he temporary stay entered

by this Court on February 11, 2002, as clarified by the Order of March 19, 2002, will remain in

effect until further order of the merits panel." See Exhibit "G."

20. Honeywell respectfully submits that the effect of the stay pending appeal is to

leave in place the previous transfer orders issued by the Delaware District Court. Because the

Remand Order has been stayed, the Delaware District Court's provisional transfer order is still

the operative order, and all claims removed by Honeywell continue to be provisionally

transferred automatically to Delaware.

21. Accordingly, pursuant to Judge Weiner's Order dated April 12, 2002 (the

"Abstention/Remand Order") "all pending motions for abstention and remand are denied without

prejudice . . . [t]he cases are administratively dismissed, subject to reinstatement following the

determination of the bankruptcy issyes by Judge Wolin and any subsequent appeals thereto." See

Exhibit "H."

22. A copy of this Notice of Removal and related documents have been served by

regular mail on counsel of record for all represented parties and upon all unrepresented parties to

the action pending in state court.

Respectfully submitted,

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By:

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Stewart R. Singer, Esquire

John C. McMeekin II, Esquire

> Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

Dated:

Case 2:02-cv-03631-BWK Document 1 Filed 06/06/2002 Page 13 of 16

CERTIFICATION OF STATE COURT RECORDS, PLEADINGS AND PROCEEDINGS

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire; Stewart R. Singer, Esquire; John C. McMeekin, Esquire; of full age, certify that on this day, we attached to the Notice of Removal as Exhibit "I", a copy of all of the appropriate records, pleadings and proceedings in the State Court as requested by this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By:____
Peter J. Neeson, Esquire
Stewart R. Singer, Esquire
John C. McMeekin II, Esquire
Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied
Signal as successor in interest to
Bendix Corporation

Dated:

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire/Stewart R. Singer, Esquire/John C. McMeekin II, Esquire, of full age, hereby certify that on this day, I caused a true and correct copy of Defendant's Notice to Remove Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1452 of the United States Code and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure to be served on all counsel listed below as follows.

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Laurence H. Brown, Esquire Brookman Rosenberg Brown & Sandler One Penn Square West, 17th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 Attorney for Plaintiffs

> Robert W. Rowan, Esquire Gollatz, Griffin & Ewing, P.C. Two Penn Center, 16th Floor 15th and JFK Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102 Attorney for ACandS, Inc.

Mark N. Cohen, Esquire
Margolis Edelstein
The Curtis Center
Fourth Floor
Independence Square West
Philadelphia, PA 19106

M. Douglas Eisler, Esquire Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP The Curtis Center, Suite 1130 East Independence Square West

Attorney for Asbestos Insulation Company, Inc.

Philadelphia, PA 19106

Attorney for A.W. Chesterton

G. Daniel Bruch, Jr., Esquire Swartz, Campbell & Detweiler 1601 Market Street, 34th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Azrock Industries

R. Thomas McLaughlin, Esquire Kelly, McLaughlin & Foster

1617 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1690 Philadelphia, PA 19103 **Attorney for Bird Corporation**

Norman L. Haase, Esquire Swartz, Campbell & Detweiler 115 North Jackson Street Media, PA 19063

Attorney for Brand Insulations, Inc.

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire Wilbraham, Lawler & Buba Suite 3100, 1818 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Certainteed Corporation, Foseco, Inc. and Georgia-Pacific Corporation

John J. Delany, III, Esquire
Delany & O'Brien
Constitution Place
325 Chestnut Street, Suite 1212
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Attorney for Combustion Engineering

Walter H. Swayze, III, Esquire Segal, McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd. 1515 Market Street, Suite 450 Philadelphia, PA 19102 Attorney for Congoleum Corporation

> Joseph R. Glancy, Esquire Stack & Stack 1600 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Crown, Cork & Seal

Fredric L. Goldfein, Esquire Goldfein & Hosmer 1600 Market Street, 33rd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Garlock, Inc.

Daniel J. Ryan, Jr., Esquire Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 1845 Walnut St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Goulds Pumps, Inc. Mark MacDonald, Esquire
Jenkins Robinson Wolf & Rubinate
Public Ledger Building
Sixth and Chestnut Streets, Suite 500
150 South Independence Mall West
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Attorney for Green, Tweed & Company, Inc.

Thomas E. Seus, Esquire
McGivney, Kluger & Gannon, P.C.
1429 Walnut Street, 11th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
Attorney for Hercules Chemical Company, Inc.

Eric J. Kadish, Esquire
McCarter & English
Mellon Bank Center
1735 Market Street, Suite 700
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Owens-Illinois, Inc.

Joseph M. O'Neill, Esquire Marks, O'Neill, Reilly, O'Brien & Courtney, P.C. 1880 JFK Blvd., Suite 1200 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Pecora Corporation

Respectfully submitted,

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By:____

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Stewart R. Singer, Esquire John C. McMeekin II, Esquire Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

Dated: